**TOWNSHIP OF DENNIS**
**DENNISVILLE, NJ**
**JULY 02, 2013**

**7:00 P.M. TWP. COMMITTEE WORKSESSION/REGULAR MEETING**

Mayor Glembocki opened the meeting in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act requirements.

Mayor Glembocki requested the roll call. The Clerk called the roll with: DiCicco, Murphy, Germanio, and Glembocki present, Deputy Mayor Teefy was absent.

Mayor Glembocki indicated that Deputy Mayor Teefy had a family matter and was making every effort to get to the meeting.

Mayor Glembocki asked that everyone please stand for the flag salute, moment of silence and reading of the names of the lost soldiers.

Committeeman DiCicco read the names of the fallen soldiers.

Mayor Glembocki indicated that we would now move on to the work session issues and asked for a motion to open the worksession. Motion was made by Murphy, seconded by Germanio, with all in favor.

Mayor Glembocki indicated that this evening we have on for discussion the the correspondence from the Dennisville Historic Home Owners Association regarding their opposition to the blinking light at Petersburg Road & Academy Road. Mayor Glembocki commented on the traffic issue and the proposed project to help alleviate the issue as well as the County's pending drainage/improvement project for Dennisville. The Committee discussed the proposed traffic study for Petersburg Road, keeping the Historic Homeowners informed and the possibility of changing the truck route.

Mayor Glembocki introduced Helene Aber from the Experience Works Program who explained the program and entertained questions.

Mayor Glembocki indicated that the Committee would now have the public comment period on our Agenda items and anyone wishing to address the Committee on the Agenda items may do so at this time by coming forward and stating their name and address for the record.

Jon Frasca of Fidler Road in Dennisville extended his condolences to Committeeman Germanio on the loss of his father. Mr. Frasca commented on proposed Ordinance No. 2013-03 Zoning Ordinance as it relates to the zoning change of property in Eldora. Committeeman DiCicco commented on prior approval from the Zoning Board. Mr. Frasca questioned the zoning change further and Township Engineer, Jack Gibson, explained the zoning change as well as the variance and subdivision that was granted.

Bob Grace of 659 Petersburg Road commented on the Dennisville Historic Home Owners Association opposition to the proposed traffic improvement at the intersection of Petersburg

Road and Academy Road. He questioned what the Committee plans to do to make that area safer for the buses. The Committee indicated that it is in the hands of the County. Mr. Grace commented on possible weight limits on the road. Township Engineer, Jack Gibson, reiterated that the issue is in the County's hands and indicated that a change in the truck route could help.

Jack Connolly, 651 Petersburg Road commented on Resolution No. 2011-117 which supported the County's improvement plan for Dennisville (drainage, sidewalks, etc). He asked that the Township reaffirm that they are still "on-board" with the project. Mr. Connolly suggested that the Committee adopt a resolution regarding a change in the weight limit or route for trucks. Mr. Connolly indicated that Dennisville Historic Home Owners Association stand behind the County's improvement plan for Dennisville (drainage, sidewalks, etc).

Mayor Glembocki asked the Township Engineer to check on the status of the County's improvement plan for Dennisville (drainage, sidewalks, etc). Mr. Connolly commented on County projects that are ahead of the Dennisville one. Mr. Connolly indicated that he believes the County Museum uses the Experience Works program that the Township is looking into.

There being no further comment from the public on agenda items, Mayor Glembocki questioned the Committee if they had any questions or concerns with the consent agenda.

There being none, Mayor Glembocki asked for a motion adopting the consent agenda, motion was made by Murphy, seconded by Germanio, with 4 ayes and 1 absent (Teefy), that the consent agenda be adopted.

April 09, 2013 (Work Session/Regular Meeting) minutes were apart of the consent agenda and hereby approved.

The following correspondence was apart of the consent agenda and hereby approved: Resolution and Supporting Documents from the Dennisville Historic Home Owners Association – Opposing a Blinking Light at the Intersection of Petersburg Road & Academy Road.

The following motions were apart of the consent agenda and hereby approved: Acknowledging the New Jersey Ride Against AIDS bicycle ride from High Point to Cape May during the weekend oof September 27 – 29, 2013. Authorizing Superior Court of New Jersey use of the Senior Center for Juvenile Conference Committee Meetings.

The following Resolution Nos. 2013-98 thru 2013-101 were apart of the consent agenda and hereby approved.

**RESOLUTION NO. 2013-98**

**RESOLUTION GRANTING A BINGO LICENSE TO THE ITALIAN AMERICAN CLUB OF SEA ISLE CITY FOR A BINGO EVENT TO BE HELD ON AUGUST 7, 2013**

**WHEREAS,** the Italian American Club of Sea Isle City has applied for a Bingo License to hold a Bingo Event at Bishop McHugh Regional Catholic School on August 7, 2013; and

**WHEREAS,** said organization has complied with the necessary requirements.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** by the Township Committee of the Township of Dennis, County of Cape May, State of New Jersey, that the Bingo License for the Italian American Club of Sea Isle City is hereby granted.

**ATTEST**_____**ATTEST**_____
Jacqueline B. Justice, RMC/Clerk Eugene L. Glembocki, Mayor

**RESOLUTION NO. 2013-99**

**RESOLUTION GRANTING A RAFFLE LICENSE TO CAPE VOLUNTEERS IN MEDICINE, INC. FOR A RAFFLE EVENT TO BE HELD ON SEPT. 20, 2013**

**WHEREAS,** the Cape Volunteers in Medicine, Inc. has applied for a Raffle License to hold a Raffle Event at Abbie Holmes House on September 20, 2013; and

**WHEREAS,** said organization has complied with the necessary requirements.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** by the Township Committee of the Township of Dennis, County of Cape May, State of New Jersey, that the Raffle License for Cape Volunteers in Medicine, Inc. is hereby granted.

**ATTEST**_____**ATTEST**_____
Jacqueline B. Justice, RMC/Clerk Eugene L. Glembocki, Mayor

**RESOLUTION NO. 2013-100**

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RENEWAL OF MEMBERSHIP IN THE ATLANTIC COUNTY MUNICIPAL JOINT INSURANCE FUND**

**WHEREAS,** the Township of Dennis is a member of the Atlantic County Municipal Joint Insurance Fund (hereinafter the "FUND"); and

**WHEREAS,** said membership terminates as of January 1, 2014 unless earlier renewed by agreement between the municipality and the FUND; and

**WHEREAS,** the Township of Dennis desires to renew said membership.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** by the Township Committee of the Township of Dennis, County of Cape May, State of New Jersey, as follows:

1. The Township of Dennis agrees to renew its membership in the FUND and to be subject to the Bylaws, Rules and Regulations, coverages, and operating procedures thereof as presently existing or a modified from time to time by lawful act of the FUND.

2. Glenn O. Clarke, Fund Commissioner, shall be and hereby is authorized to execute the "Agreement to Renew Membership" annexed hereto and made a part hereof and to deliver the same to the FUND evidencing the Township of Dennis's intention to renew its membership.

**ATTEST**_____**ATTEST**_____
Jacqueline B. Justice, RMC/Clerk Eugene L. Glembocki, Mayor

**RESOLUTION NO. 2013-101**

**RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE INSERTION OF A SPECIAL ITEM OF REVENUE IN THE 2013 MUNICIPAL BUDGET**

**WHEREAS,** N.J.S. 40A:4-87 provides that the Director of the Division of Local Government Services may approve the insertion of any special item of revenue in the budget of any county or municipality when such item shall have been made available by law and the amount thereof was not determined at the time of the adoption of the budget; and

**WHEREAS,** said Director may also approve the insertion of an item of appropriation for an equal amount; and

**WHEREAS,** the Township of Dennis will receive $1,583.24 from the State of New Jersey Municipal Court Alcohol Education, Rehabilitation and Enforcement Fund for 2012 and wishes to amend its 2013 Budget to include this amount as a revenue.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** by the Township Committee of the Township of Dennis, County of Cape May, State of New Jersey, that this governing body hereby requests the Director of the Division of Local Government Services to approve the insertion of an item of revenue in the budget of the year 2013 in the sum of $1,583.24 which is now available as a revenue from:

Miscellaneous Revenues
Special Items of General Revenue Anticipated with
Prior Written Consent of the Director of Local Government Services:
Public And Private Revenues Off-Set with Appropriations:
Alcohol Education, Rehabilitation,
And Enforcement Fund.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that a like sum of $1,583.24 be and the same is hereby appropriated under the caption of:

General Appropriations
(a) Operations Excluded from "Caps"
Public and Private Programs Off-Set by Revenues:
Alcohol Education, Rehabilitation,
And Enforcement Fund
Municipal Court Other Expenses

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward the necessary documentation to the Director of Local Government Services.

**ATTEST**_____ **ATTEST**_____
Jacqueline B. Justice, RMC/Clerk Eugene L. Glembocki, Mayor

Mayor Glembocki indicated that it was the time and place for the additional Public Hearing on Ordinance 2013-03, An Ordinance of the Township of Dennis, County of Cape May, and State of New Jersey Repealing and Replacing Chapter 165, and Chapter 185 of the Code of the Township of Dennis Entitled, "Subdivision of Land" and "Zoning" Respectively due to the amendment made to address the Pinelands Clustering requirements. Motion was made by DiCicco, seconded by Murphy, that the Hearing be open for public comment. Committeeman Germanio indicated that he has no objection to having additional comments from the public, however, he is not prepared to vote this evening and needs more time to review the Pinelands requirements. The Township Solicitor advised the Committee to move forward on the public

hearing and then decide after the public comment if further amendments are necessary and the need for further publishing and the Committee's desire to table the vote. There being no comment from those present, motion was made to close the Public Hearing by Murphy, seconded by Germanio.

The Township Engineer indicated that emails, one from the Pinelands and one from himself regarding the Planning Board's comments should be made part of the record.

## DENNIS TOWNSHIP PLANNING BOARD DISCUSSION
## JUNE 27, 2013

Most of the discussion concerned the pinelands clustering rule .The questions raised were forwarded for response directly to the Pinelands by the Board Solicitor. This report will cover those items raised by board member David Watson and my comments for your consideration and further discussion.

Article 185-14B (11) Camper sales should be set back "at least 200' from any public road" This was raised because this activity is occurring at several locations and relief could be provided to these non-conforming uses by amending the ordinance. Please note that this is already in our current ordinance and ONLY applies to the R3 and R10 zones. My recommendation is that it not be changed, since it provides an appropriate protection to residential neighbors.

Article 185-17 VC Village Commercial Zone. Front Yard Setback 0'-8' Mr. Watson believes this to be insufficient in Dennisville and South Dennis along State Highway Rte.47 and 83. I should point out that the proposed setback is consistent with the master plan and the proposed concept of pedestrian friendly "historic and architectural character within these areas". The setbacks in our current ordinance are 30' in Dennisville and 75' along Rte. 83 in South Dennis. However, an increase in setback along these state highways would not, in my opinion, affect our Plan Endorsement. If the Committee decides to make a change, the text in the Purpose for this Zone would also require changing.

Article 185-19 (page 34) "community wastewater treatment facilities" His suggestion to remove the word "community" would not affect Plan Endorsement.

Article 185-24 A (page 51) His suggestion to add a line to the article emphasizing "private sewerage" is unnecessary here, in my opinion. However there is nothing in the definitions for sewers and this may be an appropriate location for consideration.

Article 185-43 Signs (page 84) SectionsB (7) and (8) require a 50' setback for a 25' high sign in the industrial and manufacturing plants and industrial parks. This is in the existing ordinance and the Planning Board, in the past, has interpreted any application with a business zone use to require a waiver for the normal 10' setback for a sign. Section B (3) should be expanded to include those business uses on page 56 which are considered appropriate for a sign location requiring only a 10'setback.

JACKIE ; PLEASE ALSO INCLUDE IN THE COMMITTEE PACKET FOR TOMMORROW ----- Forwarded Message ----- From: Susan Grogan To: Jon Batastini Cc: Al Butto , Jack Gibson , Gene Glembocki Sent: Mon, 01 Jul 2013 16:19:57 -0000 (UTC) Subject: RE: Dennis Township Hello John. I'm including the text of your questions below, with my answers highlighted. 1) If I decide to buy 150 acres in the Pinelands that has never been farmed so clearing would be required, does the clustering ordinance prevent this from happening. NO. The fear is that the clustering ordinance will prevent new farms. Agriculture remains a permitted use in the PR, PF8 and PF25 Zones. If someone buys a parcel of wooded land and wants to begin farming, the clustering ordinance does not apply and has absolutely no impact. What's more, clearing for agricultural purposes remains exempt from Pinelands application requirements. It's only when a property owner makes the decision to residentially develop his or her property with two or more units that the clustering ordinance becomes relevant. Property owners who simply wish to start farming, continue farming or subdivide off the occasional 3.2 acre lot for an immediate family member's house are not affected by the new clustering rules. 2) If I decide to buy 150 acres that has never been farmed, it is it true that I need to

farm for 5 years before I can cluster in order to remain farming. YES. The agricultural operation must have been in existence for at least five years prior to submission of an application for residential cluster development. 3) If I decide to buy 150 acres that has never been farmed and is wooded, if I cluster 5 units, can I then use the remaining lands as farm land or must it be preserved as wooded. On a parcel where there is no existing agricultural use, the remaining lands must be deed restricted to allow only low intensity recreation, ecological management and forestry, subject to a 5% clearing limitation. A new agricultural operation would not be permitted. 4) Let's say I own 100 acres in a 5 acre zone, which would allow me to cluster into 19 one acre lots and the remaining one lot would be 81 acres. Actually, the clustering ordinance would allow you to cluster into 23 one acre lots and one remaining lots (because a 100 acre parcel in the PR5 Zone would qualify for 4 bonus units). Now, let's say I only want to cluster 5 lots, so under that scenario, the large lot would be 95 acres. I then realize I want to sell of additional lots - can I then cluster again. In other words, is clustering a one shot deal meaning I have to cluster to the max or can I cluster again the remaining lots if I could have done so initially - can I cluster in steps. Is it the intension that clustering can only happen once because there will be a deed restriction from the first clustering activity. Correct. You cannot cluster in steps. All lands that are not part of the one acre residential lots must be deed restricted as part of the open space. The best thing to do would be to apply for approval of the maximum number of one acre lots at the outset. That way, the largest possible "yield" will have been realized, whether or not the property owner ultimately decides to sell off all the lots or retain them. 5) If you have them, can you please send me a sample deed restriction for the clustered small lots and the remaining large lot. Our staff attorney is finishing up drafts of various clustering deed restrictions and I hope to be able to distribute them shortly. 6) Does the Pinelands have any information whether deed restricted lots (the larger one) have any tax reduction incentives or benefits. I'm not entirely sure I understand this one. You're asking about the deed restricted open space? And whether there are tax incentives or benefits to the property owner? >>> Jon Batastini 6/28/2013 12:34 PM >>> Susan: I hope the correspondence finds you well. As you know, I am the Board Solicitor for the Consolidated Land Use Board of Dennis Township. The Board had a meeting last night and had some questions I hope you can shed some light upon. In regard to only Pineland's regulations and no other State agency requirements that may be applicable: 1) If I decide to buy 150 acres in the Pinelands that has never been farmed so clearing would be required, does the clustering ordinance prevent this from happening. The fear is that the clustering ordinance will prevent new farms. 2) If I decide to buy 150 acres that has never been farmed, it is it true that I need to farm for 5 years before I can cluster in order to remain farming. 3) If I decide to buy 150 acres that has never been farmed and is wooded, if I cluster 5 units, can I then use the remaining lands as farm land or must it be preserved as wooded. 4) Let's say I own 100 acres in a 5 acre zone, which would allow me to cluster into 19 one acre lots and the remaining one lot would be 81 acres. Now, let's say I only want to cluster 5 lots, so under that scenario, the large lot would be 95 acres. I then realize I want to sell of additional lots - can I then cluster again. In other words, is clustering a one shot deal meaning I have to cluster to the max or can I cluster again the remaining lots if I could

have done so initially - can I cluster in steps. Is it the intension that clustering can only happen once because there will be a deed restriction from the first clustering activity. 5) If you have them, can you please send me a sample deed restriction for the clustered small lots and the remaining large lot. 6) Does the Pinelands have any information whether deed restricted lots (the larger one) have any tax reduction incentives or benefits. Let me know if you can respond to these questions. I promised the Board I would forward the answers to the Committee meeting next Tuesday. Have a great weekend. Jon D. Batastini, Esq. Loveland Garrett & Batastini PA 801 Asbury Avenue, Suite 412 Ocean City, New Jersey 08226 jdb@lgblaw.com 609-399-0035 (w) 609-398-6847 (f) ATTENTION: IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Pursuant to Treasury Regulations, any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used or relied upon by you or any other person, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax advice addressed herein. This e-mail contains PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION intended only for the use of the Individual(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at 609-399-0035.

From: Susan Grogan To: Jack Gibson Cc: Leslie Gimeno , GeneGlembocki , john d battistini Sent: Mon, 01 Jul 2013 16:19:27 -0000 (UTC) Subject: Re: Dennis Twp Chapter 185 Hello Jack. My answers are highlighted below. There was some discussion as to the possible loss of ratable base as a result of the clustering rule. Was the economic impact studied ? Or , if not, assuming the balance of the restricted property stays in private ownership ,do you believe there would not be a loss of ratable base when the new lot or lots are added to the total value? Economic impacts were considered. They are one of the reasons the Commission decided it would be appropriate to provide "bonus" units to larger cluster developments (those over 50 acres in size). The Commission recognized that one acre lots could be worth less than larger estate lots; thus, the number of possible residential lots was increased. The property owner then has additional lots to sell and the municipality has additional residential lots to tax. A reduction in infrastructure costs and municipal services was also anticipated. In addition, it was expected that a house's location next to permanently protected open space could (and should) increase its value. Some municipalities have chosen to limit the ownership options for the deed restricted open space resulting from a cluster development for just the reason you've cited. They have been interested in making sure the protected open space remains in private ownership, rather than transferred to the municipality, the county or even the State. Some members felt based on comments during your previous visit that there are restrictions to farming even if no subdivision was anticipated. That is simply not the case. If no residential subdivision is anticipated or proposed, the new clustering provisions do not come into play at all. New farms can be established and existing farms can continue as always. If a farmer decides to develop his or her property, then the

clustering ordinance would apply. In such cases, the farmer gets to continue farming and sell off one acre lots for new homes. Prior to the clustering rules, that wouldn't have been possible because each new residential lot would have had to be 5 acres in size in the PR Zone, 8 acres in the PF8 Zone and 25 acres in the PF25 Zone. The clustering rules provide a great deal more flexibility to farmers in designing their subdivisions so as not to interfere with their agricultural operations. As I read the ordinance farming is a permitted use in the Conservation Zone and the PR Rural Development District and agriculture is a permitted use in the PF8 and PF25 zones. Correct. >>> Jack Gibson 6/28/2013 3:32 PM >>> Sue: Based on my notes at last night's meeting , I would like to add two more questions. There was some discussion as to the possible loss of ratable base as a result of the clustering rule. Was the economic impact studied ? Or , if not, assuming the balance of the restricted property stays in private ownership ,do you believe there would not be a loss of ratable base when the new lot or lots are added to the total value? Some members felt based on comments during your previous visit that there are restrictions to farming even if no subdivision was anticipated. As I read the ordinance farming is a permitted use in the Conservation Zone and the PR Rural Development District and agriculture is a permitted use in the PF8 and PF25 zones . Thank You for your cooperation. Jack

Mr. Donohue commented on the Board's Attorney letter and Mr. Gibson's response as well as explained the different process to address changing the zoning after a Master Plan revision.

The Committee discussed some of the issues that were identified by the Board that needed tweaking.

Jon Batastini, Esq., the Attorney for the Township's Consolidated Land Use Board, commented on Ordinance No. 2013-03 and the required amendments by the Pinelands and held discussion with the Committee.

Jack Gibson commented on Ordinance No. 2013-03 and the need for adoption and the extensions the Township has received from the Pinelands. Mr. Donohue commented on the extension and moving the next Township Committee meeting to Monday, July 15th instead of the scheduled Tuesday, July 16th. The Committee indicated that they are ok with that.

Mayor Glembocki commented on statutory penalties for a town that is decertified. The Committee discussed the Pinelands with comments from Mr. Gibson and Mr. Donohue.

Motion was made by Germanio, seconded by Murphy, with all in favor that the next Township Committee meeting scheduled for Tuesday, July 16th be re-scheduled to Monday, July 15th at 4:00 p.m.

Motion was made by Murphy, seconded by Germanio, with 4 ayes and 1 absent (Teefy), that the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION NO. 2013-102**

**RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE 2012 MUNICIPAL AUDIT**

**WHEREAS,** N.J.S.A. 40A: 5-4 requires the governing body of every local unit to have made an annual audit of its books, accounts and financial transactions; and

**WHEREAS,** the Annual Report of Audit for the year 2012 has been filed by a Registered Municipal Accountant, with the Municipal Clerk as per the requirements of N.J.S. 40A: 5-6, and a copy has been received by each member of the governing body; and

**WHEREAS,** the Local Finance Board of the State of New Jersey is authorized to prescribe reports pertaining to the local fiscal affairs, as per R.S. 52:27BB-34; and

**WHEREAS,** the Local Finance Board has promulgated N.J.A.C. 5:30-6.5, a regulation requiring that the governing body of each municipality shall by resolution certify to the Local Finance Board of the State of New Jersey that all member of the governing body have reviewed, as a minimum, the sections of the annual audit entitled:

General Comments
Recommendations

; and

**WHEREAS,** the members of the governing body have personally reviewed as a minimum the Annual Report of Audit, and specifically the sections of the Annual Audit entitled:

General Comments
Recommendations

as evidenced by the group affidavit form of the governing body; and

**WHEREAS,** such resolution of certification shall be adopted by the Governing Body no later than forty-five days after the receipt of the annual audit, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 5:30-6.5; and

**WHEREAS,** all members of the governing body have received and have familiarized themselves with, at least, the minimum requirements of the Local Finance Board of the State of New Jersey, as stated aforesaid and have subscribed to the affidavit, as provided by the Local Finance Board; and

**WHEREAS,** failure to comply with the regulations of the Local Finance Board of the State of New Jersey may subject the members of the local governing body to the penalty provisions of R.S. 52:27BB-52 – to wit:

> R.S. 52:27BB-52 – "A local officer or member of a local governing body who, after a date fixed for compliance, fails or refuses to obey an order of the director (Director of Local Government Services), under the provisions of this Article, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, may be fined not more than one thousand dollars ($1,000.00) or imprisoned for not more than one year, or both, in addition shall forfeit his office."

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** by the Township Committee of the Township of Dennis, County of Cape May, State of New Jersey, that this governing body hereby states that it has complied with N.J.A.C. 5:30-6.5 and does hereby submit a certified copy of this resolution and the required affidavit to said Board to show evidence of said compliance.

**ATTEST**_____ **ATTEST**_____

Jacqueline B. Justice, RMC/Clerk Eugene L. Glembocki, Mayor

Motion was made by Murphy, seconded Germanio, with all in favor that providing that proper vouchers have been and filed and that funds are available, the following bills are authorized to be paid:

**GENERAL ACCOUNT:**

| | |
|---|---|
| 035920-Twp of Dennis Payroll Account | 50,897.77 |
| 035921-Atlantic City Electric | 5,072.26 |
| 035922-A T & T | 86.68 |
| 035923-Airline Hydraulics Corp. | 1,236.84 |
| 035924-Catherine Dougherty | 483.02 |
| 035925-Bertram & Haag, LLC | 2,649.34 |
| 035926-Bill Bittman | 150.00 |
| 035927-Blaney & Donohue, PA | 5,732.58 |
| 035928-Blue Star of NJ, Inc. | 341.00 |
| 035929-Boulevard Produce & Deli | 85.00 |
| 035930-Calvary Baptist Church | 400.00 |
| 035931-Cape May County M.U.A. | 16,052.23 |
| 035932-Computer Access Systems | 122.25 |
| 035933-CMRS-FP | 1,075.00 |
| 035934-Comcast | 494.70 |
| 035935-Thomas Cotellese | 50.00 |
| 035936-Dennis Twp Board of Education | 711,050.00 |
| 035937-Delta Dental | 2,904.31 |
| 035938-Dobson Turf Management | 3,417.50 |
| 035939-East Coast Distributors | 326.07 |
| 035940-Fralinger Engineering, PA | 227.50 |
| 035941-Fro Me a Party | 604.63 |
| 035942-The Gem Grocery & Gas | 349.93 |
| 035943-John C. Gibson, P.E. | 3,250.00 |
| 035944-G & K Services, Inc. | 754.69 |
| 035945-Gramco Business Communications | 189.00 |
| 035946-Group Destinations Unlimited | 850.00 |
| 035947-Roy J. Hope | 318.57 |
| 035948-Ted Kingston, Inc. | 5,110.12 |
| 035949-K-Mart | 182.44 |
| 035950-Kohler's Auto Glass | 210.00 |
| 035951-Lehigh Valley Safety Shoes | 1,195.49 |
| 035952-Lucky Bones Backwater Grille | 285.00 |
| 035953-Maser Consulting, P.A. | 840.00 |
| 035954-North American Benefits Co. | 220.93 |
| 035955-NJ Dept Health/Senior Services | 13.20 |
| 035956-Patti's Party World | 27.22 |
| 035957-Samantha Pritchard | 280.00 |
| 035958-QC, Inc. | 75.50 |
| 035959-Rudco | 712.00 |
| 035960-Seashore Food Distributors, Inc. | 357.94 |
| 035961-Cooltronics, Inc. | 303.88 |
| 035962-Senior Travel Service, Inc. | 1,440.00 |
| 035963-Steve Serwatka | 300.00 |
| 035964-Service Tire Truck Centers | 317.13 |
| 035965-S.S.C.I. | 200.00 |
| 035966-Staples Credit Plan | 62.09 |
| 035967-Treasurer, State of New Jersey | 225.00 |
| 035968-Treasurer, State of New Jersey | 9,882.46 |
| 035969-Tri State Office Solutions | 53.72 |
| 035970-Township of Upper | 3,470.53 |
| 035971-Sports Supply Group, Inc. | 71.28 |
| 035972-Verizon | 1,478.80 |
| 035973-Vision Service Plan | 853.37 |

Motion was made by DiCicco, seconded by Germanio, all in favor and the motion carried, that the following Administrative Reports were adopted:

| | |
|---|---|
| Municipal Clerk's Report | June, 2013 |
| Registrar's Report | June, 2013 |
| Construction Official's Report | June, 2013 |
| Chief Finance Officer's Report | January, 2013 |
| Chief Finance Officer's Report | February, 2013 |
| Chief Finance Officer's Report | March, 2013 |
| Engineer's Report | 07/02/2013 |

The Mayor indicated that we will now have the second public comment period. Anyone wishing to address Township Committee may do so at this time by coming forward to the microphone and stating your name and address for the record. He asked if you have commented earlier in the meeting, please limit your comments to items not previously discussed.

Bob Grace of 659 Petersburg Road in Dennisville commented on his being a member of Cape Issues and presented Committeeman DiCicco with an autograph copy of a book co-written by one of their members regarding the genealogy of Cape May County Revolutionary War Soldiers and Patriots. He commented on the book and indicated that it was written by Mike Houdart (of Cape Issues) & Henry Heacock and is for sale at the County Museum. Committeeman DiCicco thanked Mr. Grace and indicated that he would look into acquiring additional copies for our Museum. Mr. Grace commented on the concerns regarding the proposed traffic light at Petersburg Road & Academy Road and brought the Committee's attention "illegal" 25 M.P.H. signs that are being put up. Committeeman Germanio asked the Mayor to reach out to the County and let them know. Mr. Grace indicated that he does not come to the meeting with just "bad-stuff" and he commented on a program that Cape Issues supports Coast Guard Friendly Family and shared with the Committee a letter regarding the program and asked the Committee to pass a resolution supporting it. Mayor Glembocki indicated that we had already done so. Mr. Grace indicated that Committeeman Murphy's daughter works for Senator Van Drew and does a super job and he commented on the issue of a kid he knows from Kenya who has an opportunity to come and work at a camp in Maryland and the difficulties they are having with the Kenya Government. He indicated that Van Drew's and Menendez's offices are doing a wonderful job. Mr. Grace commented on information Cape Issues received regarding the Best Practices Inventory that this required by the State to be completed by each municipality. He questioned requiring the Committee Members to take a course and the Committee indicated that they just always go the class the JIF holds.

The Mayor asked for comments from the Committee.

Committeeman Murphy urged everyone to be safe this weekend.

Committeeman DiCicco reminded everyone that if they are into Country Gospel music they will be playing at Belleplain Methodist Church this Friday night at 7:00 p.m. and it is a nice affair. He closed with wishing everyone a great 4$^{th}$.

Committeeman Germanio echoed Committeeman Murphy and asked everyone to be safe this weekend. He closed with wishing everyone a happy 4$^{th}$.

Mayor Glembocki wished everyone a Happy.

Motion was made by Murphy, seconded by Germanio, that the meeting be adjourned. The motion carried.

**ATTEST**_____ **ATTEST**_____

Jacqueline B. Justice, RMC/Clerk Eugene L. Glembocki, Mayor